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Abstract

The EU has always been strong supporter of the democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories and the Palestinian state-building through its financial involvement in the peace process. Since decades the EU has been deployed various instruments; particularly economic tools to advance the creation of a democratic Palestinian state. Although the EU's policy towards promoting democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories had to some extent positive impacts on Palestinian institutions and on the civil society organisations, the EU assistance in promoting and advancing democracy reveals serious shortcomings and inconsistencies. This paper intends to assess the EU role in promoting democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories. The paper primarily elucidate the EU's policy in promoting and supporting democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories, and then the paper examines the democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories in order to assess to what extent the EU has been succeeded in promoting democracy effectively in the occupied Palestinian territories.
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**Introduction**

The European Union (EU) as one of the world’s largest economic and political actor has a unique role to promote and support democracy worldwide which is a central element of its external policy. The EU seeks to strengthen democratic process to achieve several advantages for the EU member states and for its partners, due to the fact that increasing and strengthening democracy maintains stability and security locally and globally.

The EU has always been strong supporter of the democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories and Palestinian state-building through its financial involvement in the peace process. Since decades the EU has been deployed various instruments; particularly economic tools to advance the creation of a democratic Palestinian state. At present, although the EU’s policy towards promoting democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories had to some extent positive impacts on Palestinian institutions and on the civil society organisations, the EU assistance in promoting and advancing democracy reveals serious shortcomings and inconsistencies. The EU has not been sufficient to overcome the substantial impediments to the realisation of a viable democratic Palestinian state.

**Research Aims and Objectives**

The paper intends to assess the EU role in promoting democracy effectively in the occupied Palestinian territories. Bearing in mind, speaking on democracy promoting in the occupied Palestinian territories, there is always linkage between the democracy support and the Israel-Palestinian conflict, because the democracy promoting and supporting is confronting the overarching issue of Israeli occupation. Thus, the paper primarily elucidate the EU’s policy in promoting and supporting democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories, and then the paper examines the democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories in order to assess to what extent the EU has been succeeded in promoting democracy effectively in the occupied Palestinian territories.

---


See also, Peter Kotzian, Michèle Knodt And Sigita Urdze, "Instruments of the EU’s External Democracy Promotion", *JCMS*, 2011, Vol. 49, No 5, p. 995.


The Research Methodology
The method that used for this research is primarily an analytical one; analyzing the academic writings on the EU policies in promoting democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories. Then, the research focuses on the assessment of democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories: past and present.

The study combines typical academic approaches, such as descriptive, analytical and inductive approaches. The method of analysis encompasses primary and secondary sources of law that pertain to democracy. The first step consists in the collection of primary resources including resolutions and legislation. Secondary resources include books, articles, and the explanations of laws.

Research Significance
This study sheds the light on democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories in order to help researchers and institutions as well as the decision makers to guide them to the better understanding of democracy in Palestine. It is believed that the outcomes of the study constitute specialised material which based upon insights by studying the European Union policies that correlated and interrelated to democracy.

Structure of the Study
The study which primarily assesses the EU’s policy in promoting democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories is structured as follows:

Part One: The EU’s policy in promoting democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories: retrospective and prospective. This part examines the different policies and mechanisms that the EU uses to facilitate and promote democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories.

Part Two: Democracy assessment in the occupied Palestinian territories. This part assesses the democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories past and present.

The EU's policy in promoting democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories: retrospective and prospective
The EU has defined its goals towards the Palestinian over the last four decades. Since the 1970s, the EU member states have affirmed their support for the legitimate rights of the Palestinian people and acknowledge their right to self-determination in the Venice
Moreover, since the signing of the Oslo Agreement in 1993, the EU has become increasingly vocal about a two-state solution and the future democratic, independent and viable Palestinian State on the basis of the 1967 borders that has been adopted by the United Nations Security Council Resolutions 242 and 338. The Palestinian state has viewed by the EU as desirable per se as well as an instrument to maintain the peace in the Middle East.

The EU has always been supportive of a future democratic, independent and viable Palestinian state. Therefore, the EU has used different policies and mechanisms in addition to bilateral aid and trade policies to facilitate and promote democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories.

The main platform for the EU strategy for doing that has based on the Barcelona Process which formed the basis of the Euro-Mediterranean Partnership (EMP) which has expanded and revamped in 2008 into the Union for the Mediterranean (UFM). Barcelona process launched in November 1995 by 15 EU member states and 14 Mediterranean countries, as a general framework to manage bilateral and regional relations, where the Palestinian National Authority (PNA) was represented and took part in the Euro-Mediterranean Conference in Barcelona. The Euro-Mediterranean Partnership has focused
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primarily on three dimensions: First dimension is the political and security dialogue which aimed at creating a common area of peace and stability underpinned by sustainable development, rule of law, democracy and human rights.\textsuperscript{15} Second dimension is the economic and financial partnership which aimed at creating a free-trade area in order to promote shared economic prosperity through sustainable and balanced socio-economic development.\textsuperscript{16} Third dimension is the social, cultural and human partnership that aimed at promoting understanding between cultures and people, as well as facilitating exchanges between civil society and ordinary citizens.\textsuperscript{17}

In the field of trade, the EU has supported the Palestinian state-building and development through its trade policy.\textsuperscript{18} In 1997, the European Community signed the Euro-Mediterranean Interim Association Agreement on trade and cooperation (IAA) with the Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO), which aimed to provide a sustainable framework for cooperation and development of relations between the EU and the occupied Palestinian territories in terms of trade liberalisation and establishment of political dialogue.\textsuperscript{19} It is interesting to note that, despite of the fact that the Palestinians were -and still are- struggling to achieve their sovereignty and their state-hood, the EU has dealt with Palestine as a state,\textsuperscript{20} due to the fact that the EU concluded the IAA on the evident assumption that the PLO is the sole representative of the Palestinian people.\textsuperscript{21}

In the light of this trade agreement, Palestinians have gained political and economical benefits, especially when the EU sough to ensure "the emergence of an economically viable Palestinian state",\textsuperscript{22} also due to the fact that, the EU is the largest international donor to the
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Palestinians, contributing around €500 million each year from the EU's budget in order to supporting the Palestinian state.

In addition, the European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP) has been adopted in 2005 between the European Union and its southern and eastern neighbours to achieve a close political associations and an economic integration. The European Neighbourhood Policy goals based on common interests and values mainly democracy, the rule of law and the respect of human rights. In this framework the European Union- Palestinian Authority underpinned by an Action Plan in order to establish mutual commitments for "a future Palestinian State, going beyond co-operation, to involve a significant measure of economic integration and deepening of political cooperation", as well as to create a mutual commitments concern the democracy and human rights reforms. The EU-PA relationship is part of the EU's response to the Palestinian National Authority political and economic reform agenda which included reforms for the Palestinian legislation, norms and standards to maintain democracy, accountability and transparency in the occupied Palestinian territories.

Diplomatically, the EU’s policy on democratic Palestinian State has been pursued through various diplomatic instruments. Since 2001, the EU has participated in the Middle East Quartet's work and the EU developed bilateral diplomatic channels through the EU High Representative, the EU Special Representative to the Middle East Peace Process and the EU
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Member States' individual initiatives.\textsuperscript{32} Under the Quartet umbrella, the EU did try to play a significant role with other actors in achieving and promoting the peace in the Middle East.\textsuperscript{33}

In 2003, the EU has played a leading role in developing the Quartet Roadmap for peace which intended to achieve the Palestinian statehood through focusing more on the security reform\textsuperscript{35} and ending the violence in order to reach a fair and lasting peace in the Middle East.\textsuperscript{36}

Moreover, in 2005 the EU undertaken security missions in the occupied Palestinian territories, such as the \textit{EUPOL COPPS},\textsuperscript{37} which intended to strengthen the civil police and providing training measures, advice and equipment\textsuperscript{38} in order to improve the security situation and to keep law and order in the occupied Palestinian territories.\textsuperscript{39} Furthermore, the EU also deployed a monitoring mission on the border between Gaza-Egypt, the \textit{EU BAM Rafah}, to facilitate access for Palestinians to and from Gaza.

In 2008 the EU launched the Berlin Conference in supporting of Palestinian civil security and the role of rule. The Berlin Conference aimed at supporting the "international efforts to improve Palestinian capacity building in the area of civil security and the rule of law with civil police and criminal justice as a main focus"\textsuperscript{40} where these areas are "an essential and..."
indispensable foundation for a viable state".\textsuperscript{41} In this context, the EU has supported the judicial sector reform by launching rule of laws programs, such as, \textit{Seyada} program which intended to strengthen the judicial system in the occupied Palestinian territories by providing training to judges and public prosecutors.\textsuperscript{42}

In overall terms, all of these initiatives and agreements have included clauses on democracy and human rights issues\textsuperscript{43} and the EU plays a major role when it comes to strengthening the democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories by the virtue of the fact that, the Palestinian's economic and political situations are dependence on the EU.\textsuperscript{44} The EU is the biggest provider of democracy and governance aid to the Palestinians.\textsuperscript{45}

\textbf{Democracy assessment in the occupied Palestinian territories: Past and Present}

In retrospect, it is clear that the EU’s involvement in supporting and building a democratic Palestinian State either by providing financial and economic support, or supporting the Palestinian institutional building were ineffectiveness and have not achieved the main objectives of creating the Palestinian State and maintaining the stability in the region, in spite of the leverage the EU had.\textsuperscript{46} Moreover, the impact of the European financial and economic support has not had the intended result due to the fact that the PNA is still dependence on foreign financing.\textsuperscript{47} Therefore, this part intends to assess the EU's credibility in promoting a democratic Palestinian state.

General speaking, the decline of the EU’s credibility in promoting democracy is evident in the Palestinian case, where the EU uses double standards.\textsuperscript{48} The EU's interest is in a long-term
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democracy promotion which comes after the short-term preference for security and stability.\textsuperscript{49}

In 2006 the EU has been involved with electoral support in the occupied Palestinian territories through providing financial assistance and monitoring the elections.\textsuperscript{50} The EU has advocated free and democratic elections in the occupied Palestinian territories and has covered 65 per cent of the election total costs.\textsuperscript{51} However, the EU showed its inconsistency when it adopted the myopic approach and refused to recognise Hamas and did not respect the people choice, which has seen by the Palestinians as a serious and crucial credibility issue.\textsuperscript{52} This has shown the hypocrisy of the EU policy in the occupied Palestinian territories and confirmed as an indication of the EU's failure as a democratisation actor.

Notwithstanding the democratic standards are in regression in the occupied Palestinian territories, and the emerging of two separate political entities in both West Bank and Gaza Strip has also affected the creation of one democratic state, the EU in 2007 supported the Palestinian Reform and Development Plan (PRDP) which has been taken by the Prime Minister \textit{Fayyad}, which mainly focused on the security sector reform.\textsuperscript{53} The PRDP is a national plan that contained strategy for Palestinian institutions development to achieve a sustainable economic growth and to maintain equality and social justice for a democratic state of Palestine.\textsuperscript{54}

Despite of the fact that, the PRDP to some extent has succeeded to improve the institutional development\textsuperscript{55} and the security sector, as well as it achieved more economic growth in the West Bank. The PRDP has failed to achieve a democratic state-building project.\textsuperscript{56} The political divisions between the West Bank which is ruled by the Palestinian National Authority and Gaza Strip which is ruled by Hamas have imposed many restrictions on the democratic rights
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and many civil society organisations have been forced to close, and many arrest cases have occurred for political reasons.

World Report of the Human Rights Watch in the 2012 pointed out that, "the Palestinian Authority's security services arbitrarily detained hundreds of Hamas supporters as well as politically unaffiliated protesters who supported the pro-democracy Arab Spring movements and reconciliation between Hamas and Fatah". Moreover, the Human Rights Watch confirmed that complaints of torture committed by the PNA security services decreased compared to the last years, where many arbitrary detentions and arrested of journalists and cases of unlawful deaths in custody are occurred. In addition, the Human Rights Watch pointed out that “Hamas authorities in Gaza executed three men in 2013 and sentenced 12 others to death after unfair trials. Security forces conducted arbitrary arrests and tortured detainees. The authorities permitted some local human rights organizations to operate, but suppressed political dissent, free association, and peaceful assembly”. Also, the Amnesty International pointed out that the concerns on human rights violations in the occupied Palestinian territories still exists mainly in the field of death penalty where Hamas authorities carried out executions in Gaza Strip.

Moreover, the Palestinian Legislative Council (PLC) has not been convened for the last years because many PLC Hamas members are prisoners in Israeli prisons, and thus many administrative rules and legislations have been adopted without legislative oversight.

58 H. Michou, "Towards declaration of a Palestinian state?", op. cit, p. 2.
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Besides that, the Palestinian president and the parliament terms have been expired and no elections have been occurred.64

It should be mentioned that, according to previous Fayyad’s plan the "government is to be based on the principle of justice and rule of law, equality and tolerance, safeguarded by clear separation of powers of the executive, the legislature and judiciary."65 However, the problem is these general principles are not occurring, there is no effective separation of powers, and there is more increasing concentration of authority in the executive power.66 As well as there is interference from the security services in sensitive political cases and the security services applying the military laws which are not recognised under the Palestinian laws. In addition, in many cases the security services ignored judicial decisions.67

**Conclusion**

After elucidated the EU's policy towards promoting democracy in occupied Palestinian territories and assessing the democracy on the ground, the research recalls that, now it is the time to re-examine the EU's policy in promoting democracy effectively in the occupied Palestinian territories. Criticisms are connected to the EU credibility in Palestine and the lack of real partnership, because the EU commitment to democracy in Palestine is questioned. The EU as a major donor has failed to convert its influence to exert more strict pressure for profound reform. In order to improve the EU policy in supporting democracy, the EU should shift its focus to more sustainable option of democracy building which based on the fact that, a fully functioning democracy in the occupied Palestinian territories depends most essentially on the end of Israeli occupation, as well as the EU needs to support the inter-reconciliation between the various Palestinian fractions.68
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