

## Summary of the Policy Alignment Assessment checklist

**Name of Organisation: Coronary Prevention Group**

**Date: September 8<sup>th</sup> 2016**

**Tier: 3 Civil society organisation less than 20% funded by Tier 1 organisation**

**Brief description of engagement: Medium and High risk categories**

	Assessment
1. Is the organisation directly connected with therapies or products which are claimed to benefit the health of overweight or obese people, and is there reasonable evidence for the claimed benefit or is there evidence of harm?	No. CPG main activities are promoting public health in the UK.
2. Is there reasonable evidence that the organisation has marketing /advertising strategies or undertakes lobbying or attempts political influence which may be deemed inconsistent or contradictory to World Obesity's advocacy positions or the positions of international bodies with which World Obesity is in official relations (e.g. the World Health Organization)?	No evidence found. CPG has collaborated and supported World Obesity advocacy positions, projects and staff.
3. Are there any known organizations, bodies or campaigns being funded by this organisation which might be deemed inconsistent or contradictory to World Obesity's advocacy positions or the positions of international bodies with which World Obesity is in official relations (e.g. the World Health Organization)?	No evidence found. CPG works independently promoting public health in the UK.
4. Is there reasonable evidence the organisation is failing on ethical criteria, e.g. child labour and employee welfare practices; environmental and sustainability practices; human right practices, or that in contrast it has good practices in place?	No evidence found.
5. Does the organisation have a close business relationship with other commercial interests (e.g. subsidiary or parent company) that should be taken into account in the assessment of risk?	No evidence of such relationships.
6. Are there other matters which might	No other matters of concern known.

cause reputational damage or a conflict of interest? Are there any known concerns about the organisation's probity or reputation?