

## 487MO

### Overall survival with first versus second-line use of CDK4/6 inhibitors in HR+/HER2- advanced breast cancer

N. Wortelboer<sup>1</sup>, A. Van Ommen-Nijhof<sup>2</sup>, I.R. Konings<sup>2</sup>, V. Van Der Noort<sup>3</sup>, E. Van Den Pol<sup>4</sup>, C. Guerrero Páez<sup>5</sup>, S. Bakker<sup>6</sup>, S. Hovenga<sup>7</sup>, A. Imholz<sup>8</sup>, Y.J.L. Kamm<sup>9</sup>, L. Van Leeuwen-Snoeks<sup>10</sup>, S. Luykx<sup>11</sup>, C. Mandigers<sup>12</sup>, A-M.V. Riel<sup>13</sup>, J. Tol<sup>14</sup>, A. Van De Wouw<sup>15</sup>, S. Wilting<sup>1</sup>, A.E. Van Leeuwen-Stok<sup>16</sup>, A. Jager<sup>1</sup>, G.S. Sonke<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands, <sup>2</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, Netherlands, <sup>3</sup> Department of Biometrics, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, Netherlands, <sup>4</sup> Clinical Research Department, Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation, Utrecht, Netherlands, <sup>5</sup> BVN, Dutch Breast Cancer Society, Utrecht, Netherlands, <sup>6</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Zaans Medical Centre, Zaandam, Netherlands, <sup>7</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Nij Smellinghe Hospital, Drachten, Netherlands, <sup>8</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Deventer Hospital, Deventer, Netherlands, <sup>9</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Maas Hospital Pantein, Beugen, Netherlands, <sup>10</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Diaconessenhuis, Utrecht, Netherlands, <sup>11</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Tergooi Medical Centre, Hilversum, Netherlands, <sup>12</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Canisius Wilhelmina Hospital, Nijmegen, Netherlands, <sup>13</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Elisabeth-TweeSteden Hospital, Tilburg, Netherlands, <sup>14</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Jeroen Bosch Hospital, 's-Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, <sup>15</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, VieCuri Medical Center, Venlo, Netherlands <sup>16</sup> Dutch Breast Cancer Research Group, BOOG Study Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands

### Background

The phase 3, randomized SONIA trial (NCT03425838) evaluated the optimal timing of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor (CDK4/6i) use in patients with hormone receptor positive (HR+), HER2 negative (HER2-) advanced breast cancer (ABC), comparing addition to first-line (aromatase inhibitor [AI]) versus second line (fulvestrant) endocrine therapy. We previously reported that first-line CDK4/6i use did not significantly improve progression-free survival after two lines of therapy (PFS2; primary endpoint) compared to second line use (hazard ratio [HR] 0.87; 95% CI 0.74-1.03) and was associated with greater toxicity and higher drug costs. Here we present the prespecified overall survival (OS) analysis.

### Methods

A total of 1,050 patients were randomized 1:1 to AI + CDK4/6i followed by fulvestrant or AI followed by fulvestrant + CDK4/6i. OS was a key secondary endpoint analyzed using log-rank testing and Cox proportional hazards modeling. This prespecified analysis was triggered when all patients had  $\geq 3$  years of follow-up.

### Results

At a median follow-up of 58.5 months, 606 deaths (58%) had occurred, and 263 patients (25%) remained on study treatment. Median OS was 47.9 months with first-line CDK4/6i and 48.1 months with second line CDK4/6i (HR 0.91; 95% CI 0.77-1.07,  $P = 0.24$ ), showing no significant or clinically meaningful difference. Results were consistent across all predefined subgroups, including CDK4/6i type (palbociclib or ribociclib). A post-hoc subgroup analysis suggests an OS benefit with first-line use in premenopausal patients (HR 0.53; 99% CI 0.27–1.02), but not in postmenopausal patients (HR 1.00; 99% CI 0.80–1.25;  $P$  for interaction = 0.01). Among patients who discontinued second line treatment, 85% (CDK4/6i first) and 84% (CDK4/6i second) received subsequent anti-cancer therapy, with similar treatment patterns. No new safety signals were observed.

### Conclusions

First-line CDK4/6i use does not confer a statistically significant or clinically meaningful overall survival benefit over second line use in HR+/HER2- ABC, despite more toxicity and higher costs. Exploratory data suggest an OS benefit with first-line use in premenopausal patients.

### Clinical trial identification

NCT03425838.

### Legal entity responsible for the study

BOOG Study Center representing the Dutch Breast Cancer Research Group (BOOG).

## **Funding**

The trial was funded by the Netherlands Organization for Health Research (ZonMw) and Development and Dutch Health Insurers (ZN).

## **Disclosure**

I.R. Konings: Financial Interests, Institutional, Research Grant: Novartis , Gilead. S. Wilting: Financial Interests, Institutional, Research Grant: Pfizer. A. Jager: Financial Interests, Institutional, Research Grant, Outside the submitted work: Agendia , AstraZeneca, Pfizer; Non-Financial Interests, Institutional, Advisory Board, Outside the submitted work: AstraZeneca. G.S. Sonke: Financial Interests, Institutional, Research Grant, Outside the submitted work: Agendia, AstraZeneca, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Seagen; Financial Interests, Institutional, Speaker, Consultant, Advisor, Outside the submitted work: Biovica, Novartis , Seagen. All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

© *European Society for Medical Oncology*