

10630

Fuzuloparib (FZPL) monotherapy or in combination with apatinib (APA) as first-line (1L) maintenance therapy in advanced ovarian cancer (OC): Final analysis of the FZOCUS-1 trial

<u>N. Li</u>¹, L. Wu¹, J. Wang², Q. Li³, D. Wang⁴, J. Tang⁵, C. Zhang⁶, G.N. Zhang⁷, M. Hao⁸, D. Yao⁹, W. Duan¹⁰, Q. Gao¹¹, L. Wang¹², Y. Zhang¹³, R. An¹⁴, R. Yin¹⁵, Q. Zhou¹⁶, H. Yang¹⁷, Q. Wang¹⁸

¹ Department of Gynecologic Oncology, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China, ² Department of Gynecology and Tumors, Hunan Cancer Hospital, Changsha, China, ³ Department of Gynecology, Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Shandong First Medical University, Jinan, China, ⁴ Department of Gynecology, Cancer Hospital of China Medical University, Liaoning Cancer Hospital & Institute, Shenyang, China, ⁵ Department of Gynecology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, ⁶ Department of Medical Oncology, Inner Mongolia People's Hospital, Hohhot, China, ⁷ Department of Gynecologic Oncology, Sichuan Cancer Hospital, Chengdu, China, 8 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Second Hospital of Shanxi Medicine University, Taiyuan, China, 9 Department of Gynecology, Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital, Nanning, China, ¹⁰ Department of Gynecologic Oncology, Beijing Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital Capital Medical University Beijing Maternal and Child Health Care Hospital, Beijing, China, 11 Department of Gynecologic Oncology, Tongji Hospital affiliated to Tongji Medical College of Huazhong University of Science & Technology, Wuhan, China, 12 Department of Gynecology, Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou, China, ¹³ Gynecology Department, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, China, ¹⁴ Department of Gynecology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, ¹⁵ Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University; Key Laboratory of Obstetrics & Gynecologic and Pediatric Diseases and Birth Defects of Ministry of Education, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, 16 Gynecological Cancer Center, Chongging University Cancer Hospital, Chongging, China, ¹⁷ Department of Gynecology, Yunnan Cancer Hospital & The Third Affliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, China¹⁸ Department of Clinical Research, Jiangsu Hengrui Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China

Background

PARP inhibitor (PARPi) +/- antiangiogenic was approved as 1L maintenance therapy for advanced OC. However, the efficacy of PARPi + antiangiogenic compared to PARPi alone lacks evidence, especially in *BRCA*m/homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) pts. We conducted FZOCUS-1, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial, to assess the efficacy and safety of FZPL, a novel PARPi, with/without APA, a VEGFR-2 inhibitor, as 1L maintenance in OC. Here, we report the final analysis results, after ⊠40 mo of follow-up.

Methods

Patients (pts) with newly diagnosed OC after a response to 1L platinum-based chemotherapy were randomized 2:2:1 to receive FZPL (150 mg BID), FZPL (100 mg BID) + APA (375 mg QD), or matching placebo as maintenance therapy. The primary endpoint was PFS by BIRC per RECIST v1.1, with the primary objectives of testing FZPL and FZPL+APA vs placebo in the overall population and gBRCA1/2m subpopulation. As of Nov 1, 2024, 385 PFS events occurred and a final analysis was performed.

Results

674 pts were randomized to FZPL (n = 269), FZPL+APA (n = 269), and placebo (n = 136). FZPL and FZPL+APA significantly prolonged PFS by BIRC vs placebo, regardless of gBRCA1/2 mutation status. Median PFS in gBRCA1/2m subgroup was 45.1 mo (HR 0.50, 95% CI 0.30-0.84) in FZPL+APA and 47.8 mo (HR 0.51, 95% CI 0.30-0.86) in FZPL, vs 16.6 mo in placebo. Notably, in HRD (including BRCAm) pts, FZPL+APA resulted in similar PFS as FZPL monotherapy, while in homologous recombination proficient (HRP) pts, FZPL+APA showed PFS benefit trend over FZPL (Table). OS data were not mature. Grade >=3 TRAEs (mostly hematologic) were reported by 48.7%, 45.7%, and 7.4% of pts in the FZPL+APA, FZPL, and placebo group.Table: 10630

Efficacy summary

	FZPL+APA (N = 269) FZPL (N = 269)	Placebo (N = 136)
Overall population			
mPFS, mo (95% CI)	26.9 (20.3, 36.6)	29.9 (22.1, 36.1) 11.1 (8.3, 16.6)
HR, vs placebo (95% CI)	0.57 (0.44, 0.75)	0.58 (0.44, 0.75)

	FZPL+APA (N = 269) FZPL (N = 269)	Placebo (N = 136)	
HR, vs FZPL (95% CI)	1.04 (0.83, 1.32)			
HRD, including BRCAm (n=486)				
mPFS, mo (95% CI)	34.1 (22.4, 41.2)	35.8 (27.3, NR)	16.6 (8.3, 31.1)	
HR, vs placebo (95% CI)	0.67 (0.48, 0.93)	0.62 (0.45, 0.87)	
HR, vs FZPL (95% CI)	1.09 (0.83, 1.44)			
HRP (n=118)				
mPFS, mo (95% CI)	16.6 (10.2, 22.1)	11.0 (6.5, 16.6)	5.5 (3.3, 13.8)	
HR, vs placebo (95% CI)	0.51 (0.30, 0.86)	0.68 (0.40, 1.15)	
HR, vs FZPL (95% CI)	0.73 (0.45, 1.19)			

Conclusions

Adding antiangiogenic APA to FZPL did not enhance PFS among HRD pts in the 1L maintenance setting, while HRP pts showed PFS benefit trend with FZPL+APA compared to FZPL alone.

Clinical trial identification

NCT04229615.

Editorial acknowledgement

Medical writing support was provided by Xinyu Xie (Jiangsu Hengrui Pharmaceuticals).

Legal entity responsible for the study

Jiangsu Hengrui Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd.

Funding

Jiangsu Hengrui Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd.

Disclosure

Q. Wang, X. Yang: Financial Interests, Personal, Full or part-time Employment: Jiangsu Hengrui Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd. All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

© European Society for Medical Oncology