

#### LBA22

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with oxaliplatin and capecitabine versus chemoradiation with capecitabine for locally advanced rectal cancer with uninvolved mesorectal fascia (CONVERT): Initial results of a multicenter randomised, open-label, phase III trial

P-R. Ding<sup>1</sup>, X-Z. Wang<sup>2</sup>, Y-F. Li<sup>3</sup>, Y-M. Sun<sup>4</sup>, C-K. Yang<sup>5</sup>, Z-G. Wu<sup>6</sup>, R. Zhang<sup>7</sup>, W. Wang<sup>8</sup>, Y. Li<sup>9</sup>, Y-Z. Zhuang<sup>10</sup>, J. Lei<sup>11</sup>, X-B. Wan<sup>12</sup>, Y-K. Ren<sup>12</sup>, Y. Cheng<sup>13</sup>, W-L. Li<sup>14</sup>, Z-Q. Wang<sup>15</sup>, Z-Z. Pan<sup>1</sup>, Y-H. Gao<sup>16</sup>, Z-F. Zeng<sup>16</sup>, D-S. Wan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Colorectal Surgery, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup> General Surgery, Shantou Central Hospital (Affiliated Shantou Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University), Shantou, China, <sup>3</sup> Colorectal Surgery, Yunnan Cancer Hospital/The Third Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, China, <sup>4</sup> Colorectal Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, <sup>5</sup> Gastrointestinal Surgical Oncology, Fujian Medical University Cancer Hospital & Fujian Cancer Hospital, Fuzhou, China, <sup>6</sup> Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Meizhou People's Hospital, Meizhou, China, <sup>7</sup> Colorectal Surgery, Liaoning Cancer Hospital, Shenyang, China, <sup>8</sup> Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China, <sup>9</sup> Gastrointestinal Surgery, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangzhou, China, <sup>10</sup> Abdominal Surgery, Cancer Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, China, <sup>11</sup> Gastroenterology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>12</sup> Department of General Surgery, Henan Cancer Hospital & The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China, <sup>13</sup> Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of ChongQing Medical University, Chongqing, China, <sup>14</sup> Surgical Oncology, First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, China, <sup>15</sup> Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Sichuan, China, China, China

## Background

Combined chemoradiation therapy is currently the standard practice for locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC) with uninvolved mesorectal fascia (MRF). The CONVERT study compares neoadjuvant chemotherapy with CapeOx alone to standard chemoradiotherapy (CRT) with Capecitabine for these patients.

## Methods

Patients between June 2014 and October 2020 with LARC within 12 cm from the anal verge and uninvolved MRF were randomly assigned to receive 4 cycles of CapeOx chemotherapy alone (nCT arm) or CRT with concurrent Capecitabine (nCRT arm). Primary endpoint is local regional failure free survival.

#### Results

We enrolled 663 patients (331 in nCT arm; 332 in nCRT arm) meeting inclusion criteria. 86.3% of patients accomplished full dose of neoadjuvant therapy in nCT arm compared to 91.0% in nCRT arm (P= 0.074). 52.8% of patients accomplished full dose of adjuvant chemotherapy in nCT arm compared to 44.1% in nCRT arm (P= 0.065). The pCR rate and good downstaging (ypStage 0 to 1) rate in nCT arm and nCRT arm was 11.0% vs. 13.8% (P= 0.333), and 40.8% vs. 45.6% (P= 0.265), respectively. nCT significantly reduced perioperative distant metastases compared with nCRT (0.7% vs. 3.1%, P= 0.034). Two patients in nCT arm and 5 patients in nCRT arm achieved complete clinical response and were treated with a non-operative approach. Less preventive ileostomy were observed in nCT arm (52.2 vs. 63.6, P= 0.008). The two arms had similar short-term toxicity and postoperative complications. Similar results were observed in subgroup analysis.

### **Conclusions**

nCT achieved similar pCR and good downstaging rate with less peri-operative distance metastasis and preventive colostomy compared to nCRT. This regimen could serve as a potential alternative to CRT in LARC with uninvolved MRF. Long-term follow-up is needed to confirm these results. Table: LBA22

	All patients		Dualua
	nCT	nCRT	<i>P</i> value
Age Median(Range)	60.1 (31	-77) 60.1 (28-	-77) 0.852
Clinical T4(%)	27.8	25.6	0.529
Clinical staging III (%)	67.7	71.8	0.260
pCR(%)	11.0	13.8	0.333

	All patients		
	nCT	nCRT	<i>P</i> value
pCR+cCR(%)	11.7	15.4	0.205
ypT0-1N0M 0(%)	16.9	19.2	0.500
ypStage 0 to 1 (%)	40.8	45.6	0.265
TRG 0-1(%)	24.0	38.6	⊠0.001
TRG 0-2(%)	60.1	77.1	⊠0.001
R0 resection (%)	99.6	99.6	0.999
Sphincter preservation (%)	94.9	94.3	0.760
Preventive diverting ileostomy (%)	52.2	63.6	0.008
Peri-operative distant metastasis (%)		3.1	0.034
Any grade 3/4 adverse events(%)	12.3	8.3	0.109
Any postoperative complications(%)	17.7	24.1	0.065

## Clinical trial identification

NCT02288195, last update time: 05/09/2021.

## Editorial acknowledgement

We thank Prof. Scott R Steele and Prof. Ji-Bin Li for providing the necessary writing assistance and editorial support during the development of the abstract.

# Legal entity responsible for the study

The authors.

## **Funding**

Sun Yat-sen University Clinical Research 5010 Program (grant number 2014013).

### Disclosure

All authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

© European Society for Medical Oncology