

#### 657MO

# Antibiotic (ATB) therapy and outcome from nivolumab (N) in metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC) patients (pts): Results of the GETUG-AFU 26 NIVOREN multicentric phase II study

L. Derosa<sup>1</sup>, C. Alves Costa Silva<sup>2</sup>, C. Dalban<sup>3</sup>, E. Colomba<sup>4</sup>, S. Negrier<sup>5</sup>, C.M. Chevreau<sup>6</sup>, G. Gravis<sup>7</sup>, S.M. Oudard<sup>8</sup>, B. Laguerre<sup>9</sup>, P. Barthelemy<sup>10</sup>, D. Borchiellini<sup>11</sup>, M. Gross-Goupil<sup>12</sup>, L. Geoffrois<sup>13</sup>, F. Rolland<sup>14</sup>, A. Thiery-Vuillemin<sup>15</sup>, F. Joly<sup>16</sup>, S. Ladoire<sup>17</sup>, F. Tantot<sup>18</sup>, B. Escudier<sup>19</sup>, L. Albiges<sup>20</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Medical Oncology Department, Institut Gustave Roussy, Paris, France, <sup>2</sup> Departement de Médecine Recherche, Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France, <sup>3</sup> Unicancer, Paris, France, <sup>4</sup> Department of Cancer Medicine, Gustave Roussy Cancerology Institute, GINECO Group, Villejuif, France, <sup>5</sup> Medical Department, Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France, <sup>6</sup> Oncology Department, Institut Universitaire du Cancer -Toulouse- Oncopole, Toulouse, Haute-Garonne, France, <sup>7</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Institut Paoli-Calmettes Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France, <sup>8</sup> Immunothérapie et Traitement Antiangiogénique en Pathologie Cancérologique, Hopital European George Pompidou, Paris, France, <sup>9</sup> Medical Oncology, Centre Eugene - Marquis, Rennes, France, <sup>10</sup> Medical Oncology Department, ICANS - Institut de Cancérologie Strasbourg Europe, Strasbourg, France, <sup>11</sup> Medical Oncology Department, Centre Anticancer Antoine Lacassagne, Nice, France, <sup>12</sup> Medical Oncology, Bergonie Institute, Cancer Center, Bordeaux, France, <sup>13</sup> Medical Oncology Department, Institut de Cancérologie de Lorraine - Alexis Vautrin, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France, <sup>14</sup> Medical Oncology Department, ICO Institut de Cancerologie de l'Ouest René Gauducheau, Saint-Herblain, France, <sup>15</sup> Medical Oncology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Besançon, Besançon, France, <sup>16</sup> Medical Oncology, Centre Francois Baclesse, Caen, France, <sup>17</sup> Oncology, Centre Georges-François Leclerc (Dijon), Dijon, France, <sup>18</sup> PARIS, Unicancer, Paris, France, <sup>19</sup> Medical Oncology Department, Gustave Roussy - Cancer Campus, Villejuif, France<sup>20</sup> Medical Oncology, Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France

# Background

Previous studies examined the impact of ATB on immune checkpoint inhibitor efficacy across a wide range of tumors, including genitourinary neoplasms. Perturbation of the gut microbiota has been indicated as a putative mechanism to explain this influence. We aimed to assess the impact of ATB in refractory mRCC pts.

#### Methods

The GETUG-AFU 26 NIVOREN phase II trial (NCT 0301335) assessed the activity and safety of N in metastatic ccRCC pts who failed anti-angiogenic regimen. Pts who received ATB between 60 days before until 42 days after N initiation were compared with those who did not. Progression-free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS), overall response rate (ORR) and toxicities were assessed. Multivariate Cox analysis was used to adjust for established risk factors: sex, age, international Metastatic RCC Database Consortium (IMDC) score, number of previous lines, hypoalbuminemia, and brain, bone and liver metastasis.

#### Results

Among 707 pts included between February 2016 and June 2017, 104 (14.7%) received ATB. Characteristics were well balanced except for IMDC score: 12% vs 19% good, 49% vs 57% intermediate, 39% vs 24% poor in ATB users vs non-users, respectively. Median OS was 13.0 (95%Cl 8.1-19.8; 67/104) months for ATB users vs 25.0 (95%Cl 22.4-28.4; 284/603) months in non-users [HR 1.77 (95%Cl 1.36-2.31), p<.0001]. In multivariate analysis, ATB was still associated with worse OS [HR 1.59 (1.22-2.09), p=0.0008]. Median PFS was 2.6 (95%Cl 2.4-4.1; 90/104) months in ATB users vs 3.8 (95%Cl 2.9-4.6; 504/603) months in non-users [HR 1.24 (0.99-1.55), p=0.0564]. ORR was 15.1% for ATB users vs 21.1% for non-users (p=0.176). Among ATB users, there was no complete response (CR) and 53 (57.0%) had progressive disease (PD), while 9 (1.5%) and 275 (47.3%) of non-users had CR and PD, respectively. The incidence of grades 3-5 toxicity leading to treatment stop was 26.9% among ATB vs 17.9% of non-users (p=0.031).

#### **Conclusions**

ATB severely compromise OS and PFS in N-treated mRCC pts. We confirm the potential deleterious effect for ATB in pts treated with anti-PD1, and the suspected impact of microbiota lay the ground for interventional study.

# Clinical trial identification

NCT 0301335.

Legal entity responsible for the study

Unicancer.

# **Funding**

Institut National du Cancer, Direction Générale de l'Offre de Soins, Bristol-Myers-Squibb.

### Disclosure

E. Colomba: Financial Interests, Personal, Invited Speaker: Ipsen, Sanofi, BMS and Pfizer. B. Escudier: Financial Interests, Personal and Institutional, Invited Speaker: Bristol-Myers Squibb, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Ipsen, Roche, Pfizer, Oncorena, Aveo. L. Albiges: Financial Interests, Personal and Institutional, Advisory Role: declared consulting or Advisory Role: Novartis, Amgen (Inst), Bristol-Myers Squibb, Bristol-Myers Squibb (Inst), Ipsen (Inst), Roche (Inst), Novartis (Inst), Pfizer (Inst), Astellas Pharma (Inst), Merck (Inst). All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

© European Society for Medical Oncology