

11230

Evaluation of cell-free DNA approaches for multi-cancer early detection

M.C. Liu¹, A. Jamshidi², E.A. Klein³, O. Venn², E. Hubbell², J.F. Beausang², N. Zhang², K.N. Kurtzman², C. Hou², D.A. Richards⁴, T.J. Yeatman⁵, A.L. Cohn⁶, D.D. Thiel⁷, M.K. Tummala⁸, K. McIntyre⁹, M.A. Sekeres¹⁰, A.H. Bryce¹¹, M.V. Seiden¹², C. Swanton¹³

¹ Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA, ² Research and Development, GRAIL, Inc., Menlo Park, CA, USA, ³ Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA, ⁴ Research and Development, The US Oncology Network, Tyler, TX, USA, ⁵ Intermountain Healthcare, Intermountain Cancer Center, Murray, UT, USA, ⁶ US Oncology Network, Denver, CO, USA, ⁷ Research and Development, Mayo Clinic Florida, Jacksonville, FL, USA, ⁸ Mercy Clinic Cancer and Hematology - Chub O'Reilly Cancer Center, Springfield, MO, USA, ⁹ US Oncology Network, Dallas, TX, USA, ¹⁰ University of Miami Sylvester Cancer Center, Miami, FL, USA, ¹¹ Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, AZ, USA, ¹² US Oncology Research, The Woodlands, TX, USA ¹³ Francis Crick Institute, London, UK

Background

In the first substudy of the Circulating Cell-free Genome Atlas (CCGA) study (NCT02889978; Sep 2016), cfDNA multi-omics were evaluated in prototype cfDNA-based MCED tests.

Methods

Plasma and matched white blood cells (WBCs) were collected and sequenced from CCGA participants. Where available, tumor biopsies were also sequenced. Six cfDNA-omics were used: whole-genome (WG) methylation data from WG bisulfite sequencing (30×); small somatic variant data from error-corrected targeted sequencing (TS; 60,000×); and somatic copy-number aberration (SCNA), fragment length, fragment endpoint, and allelic imbalance data from WG sequencing (WGS; 30×). Samples were split into independent training (T) and validation (V) sets and 10 classifiers were trained to detect solid cancer (carcinomas, sarcomas, lymphomas): 1 per -omic, 2 corrected for clonal hematopoiesis (CH) using germline DNA from paired WBC sequencing, 1 pan-omics, 1 clinical data only. These were assessed for cancer detection and clinical limit of detection (cLOD), which was estimated as the probability of detecting cancer as a function of circulating tumor fraction (cTF) using matched tumor biopsies. Three additional classifiers (each using WG methylation, TS, or SCNA) were trained to predict cancer signal origin (CSO) and were assessed for accuracy.

Results

Of 2,800 participants, 2,261 (1,414 T; 847 V) had analyzable results. T and V results were similar; all results here are for V. cTF accounted for >72% of the variance in cancer detection scores. The cLOD was >1.5-fold lower for WG methylation than any WGS or TS classifier (even with WBC CH correction for WGS/TS). CSO prediction was >1.8-fold more accurate using WG methylation than TS or SCNA.

Conclusions

The strong correlation between cTF and cancer detection performance suggests that cLOD may be an attractive metric for comparing MCED test performance at equal specificity. Here, a WG methylation assay outperformed WGS or TS without needing additional WBC sequencing for CH. These data informed the design of a significantly improved targeted methylation MCED test for further CCGA substudies to support clinical use.

Clinical trial identification

NCT02889978.

Editorial acknowledgement

Medical writing assistance was provided by Alexis Fedorchak (GRAIL, Inc.).

Legal entity responsible for the study

GRAIL, Inc.

Funding

GRAIL, Inc.

Disclosure

M.C. Liu: Financial Interests, Institutional, Advisory Board, The Mayo Clinic was compensated for MCL's and DDT's, advisory board activities for GRAIL, Inc. A. Jamshidi: Financial Interests, Personal, Full or part-time Employment: GRAIL, Inc.; Financial Interests, Personal, Stocks/Shares: GRAIL, Inc.; Financial Interests, Personal, Stocks/Shares: Illumina, Inc. E.A. Klein: Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: GRAIL, Inc.; Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: Genomic Health; Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: Genome Dx. O. Venn, E. Hubbell, J.F. Beausang, N. Zhang: Financial Interests, Personal, Full or part-time Employment: GRAIL, Inc.; Financial Interests, Personal, Stocks/Shares: GRAIL, Inc. K.N. Kurtzman, C. Hou: Financial Interests, Personal, Full or part-time Employment: GRAIL, Inc.; Financial Interests, Personal, Stocks/Shares: GRAIL, Inc.; Financial Interests, Personal, Stocks/Shares: Illumina, Inc. D.D. Thiel: Financial Interests, Institutional, Advisory Board, The Mayo Clinic was compensated for MCL's and DDT's, advisory board activities for GRAIL, Inc. A.H. Bryce: Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: Pfizer; Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: Merck; Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: Bayer; Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: Astellas Pharmaceuticals. M.V. Seiden: Financial Interests, Personal, Full or part-time Employment: McKesson Corporation; Financial Interests, Personal, Stocks/Shares: McKesson Corporation; Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: GRAIL, Inc. C. Swanton: Financial Interests, Personal, Stocks/Shares: GRAIL, Inc; Financial Interests, Personal, Stocks/Shares: Epic Biociences; Financial Interests, Personal, Stocks/Shares: Apogen Biotech; Financial Interests, Personal and Institutional, Research Grant: Pfizer; Financial Interests, Personal and Institutional, Research Grant: AstraZeneca; Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: Roche Ventana; Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: Celgene; Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: Pfizer; Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: Novartis; Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: Genentech; Financial Interests, Personal, Advisory Role: BMS; Financial Interests, Personal and Institutional, Member of the Board of Directors: Achilles Therapeutics. All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

© *European Society for Medical Oncology*