



ERS

EUROPEAN RESPIRATORY SOCIETY  
INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS 2023  
MILAN Italy, 9-13 September

---

## **Late Breaking Abstract - The impact and timing of pulmonary rehabilitation in patients undergoing bronchoscopic lung volume reduction with endobronchial valves: a randomized controlled trial in patients with severe emphysema**

M. Van Der Molen (Groningen, Netherlands), R. Posthuma (Horn, Netherlands), J. Hartman (Groningen, Netherlands), H. Van Der Vaart (Groningen, Netherlands), E. Bij De Vaate (Hilversum, Netherlands), A. Vaes (Horn, Netherlands), B. Van Der Borst (Nijmegen, Netherlands), D. Van Ranst (Breda, Netherlands), M. Spruit (Horn, Netherlands), L. Vanfleteren (Gothenburg, Sweden), D. Slebos (Groningen, Netherlands)

### Background

Both bronchoscopic lung volume reduction with endobronchial valves (BLVR-EBV) and pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) are effective treatments for improving exercise capacity and patient-reported outcomes in patients with severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD).

### Aim

To study the impact and timing of PR in patients who underwent BLVR-EBV.

### Methods

We included patients with severe COPD who were eligible for BLVR-EBV and PR. Participants were randomized into three groups: PR before BLVR-EBV, PR after BLVR-EBV, or BLVR-EBV without PR. The primary outcome was change in constant work rate cycle test (CWRT) endurance time at 6-month follow-up between the PR groups and BLVR-EBV only group. Secondary endpoints included changes in six-minute walking test, daily step count, and patient-reported outcomes.

### Results

Ninety-seven participants were included. At 6-month follow-up, there was no difference in change in CWRT endurance time between the PR groups and BLVR-EBV alone (median: 376 [IQR: 26; 906] vs. 601 [73; 1003] seconds,  $p=0.416$ ) or any of the secondary endpoints. Similarly, we found no differences in change in CWRT endurance time between the groups that underwent PR before and after BLVR-EBV (421 [44; 1304] vs. 292 [17; 630] seconds,  $p=0.210$ ) or in the secondary endpoints.

### Conclusion

The combination of PR and BLVR-EBV did not result in increased exercise capacity, daily step count, or improved patient-reported outcomes compared to BLVR-EBV alone. The timing of PR in relation to BLVR-EBV did not affect treatment efficacy.