

Abstract Number: 1843/P632

Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein Predicts Short-term Progression Independent of Relapse Activity in Primary Progressive Multiple Sclerosis

Ahmed Abdelhak *^{1, 2}, Kai Antweiler³, Markus Kowarik^{4, 5}, Makbule Senel², Joachim Havla⁶, Uwe K. Zettl⁷, Ingo Kleiter⁸, Thomas Skripuletz⁹, Axel Haarmann¹⁰, Alexander Stahmann¹¹, André Huss², Stefan Gingele⁹, Markus Krumbholz^{4, 5, 12, 13}, Jens Kuhle^{14, 15}, Pascal Benkert^{14, 15}, Tim Friede³, Albert C. Ludolph², Ulf Ziemann^{4, 5}, Tania Kümpfel⁶, Hayretin Tumani²,

¹ University of California San Francisco (UCSF), Department of Neurology, San Francisco, United States² University Hospital of Ulm, Department of Neurology, Ulm, Germany³ University Medical Centre Goettingen, Department of Medical Statistics, Göttingen, Germany,⁴ University Hospital of Tübingen, Department of Neurology and Stroke, Tübingen, Germany,⁵ Hertie Institute for Clinical Brain Research, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany,⁶ LMU Hospital, Ludwig-Maximilians University, Institute of Clinical Neuroimmunology, Munich, Germany,⁷ University of Rostock, Department of Neurology, Neuroimmunological Section, Rostock, Germany,⁸ Marianne-Strauß-Klinik, Behandlungszentrum Kempfenhausen für Multiple Sklerose Kranke gGmbH, Berg, Germany,⁹ Hannover Medical School, Department of Neurology, Hanover, Germany,¹⁰ University Hospital Würzburg, Department of Neurology, Würzburg, Germany,¹¹ Forschungs- und Projektentwicklungs-gGmbH, MS-Registry by the German MS-Society, Hanover, Germany,¹² University Hospital of the Brandenburg Medical School Theodor Fontane, Department of Neurology and Pain Treatment, MS Center, Center for Translational Medicine, Immanuel Klinik Rüdersdorf, Rüdersdorf bei Berlin,¹³ Faculty of Health Sciences Brandenburg, Brandenburg Medical School Theodor Fontane, Rüdersdorf bei Berlin, Germany,¹⁴ University Hospital of Basel, Department of clinical research, Basel, Switzerland¹⁵ University Hospital of Basel, Department of Neurology, Basel, Switzerland

Introduction:

Prediction of progression is a significant unmet need in people with progressive multiple sclerosis (PwPMS). Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) has been proposed to be a promising marker of progression in MS. Nevertheless, previous studies were either restricted to single-center studies with predominantly relapsing multiple sclerosis, or were based on solely EDSS progression, which limit its generalization to current state-of-the-art clinical setting and clinical trials in PMS.

Objectives/Aims:

To evaluate whether assessment of GFAP can potentially contribute to better prediction of disability progression in PwPMS.

Methods:

EMerging blood BIOMarkers in PROgressive Multiple Sclerosis (EmBioProMS) investigated blood levels of GFAP and NfL (neurofilament light chain) in PwPMS with primary (PP-) or clinically defined secondary progressive MS (SPMS), who were prospectively recruited in eight MS clinics in Germany. 6-months Confirmed Disability Progression (CDP) was defined with using combined outcome parameter of EDSS, timed-25-foot walk test (T25FW) and nine-hole-peg-test (9HPT).

Results:

NfL and GFAP levels were available from 668/809 visits from 243 subjects with a median follow-up of 25.1

months [IQR 13.7 – 38.5]. NfL and GFAP levels were elevated compared to age- and sex-adjusted reference mean (i.e., Z score of 0, $p < 0.001$ for both). In participants with sufficient FU to evaluate progression ($n = 338$ visits), 111 (32.8%) events of progression were documented. More than half of the progression events were evident through worsening of T25FW (58/111, 52.3%), followed by 9HPT (40/111, 36.0%), while EDSS progression was evident in 38/111 (34.2%). Particularly elevated GFAP (> 3) and high NfL (> 1.5) Z scores at baseline were associated with 2.9- and 2.6-fold higher risk for CDP in the PPMS participants ($n = 101$, HR: 2.88 [1.21 – 6.84], and 2.55 [1.09 – 5.93], $p = 0.016$ and 0.030 , respectively). The predictive potential of high GFAP was particularly evident in subjects with concomitant low NfL concentrations (i.e., Z score ≤ 1.0) (4.31 [1.53 – 12.1], $p = 0.006$). None of the HR for the selected cut-offs was significant in the SPMS population ($n = 68$).

Conclusion:

Blood GFAP levels may provide a tool to identify PwPPMS at high risk of progression in clinical setting, and potential candidates for clinical trials targeting disease progression. Participants with high GFAP and low NfL might constitute a distinct, non-active PPMS population with a particularly high risk for progression.

Disclosures:

AA: research funding from DMSG, AMSEL, Bavarian MS Trust. KA: Nothing to disclose MCK: served on advisory boards and received speaker fees / travel grants from Merck, Sanofi-Genzyme, Novartis, Biogen, Janssen, Alexion, Celgene / Bristol-Myers Squibb and Roche. He has received research grants from Merck, Roche, Novartis, Sanofi-Genzyme and Celgene / Bristol-Myers Squibb. MS: received consulting and/or speaker honoraria from Alexion, Bayer, Biogen, Bristol-Myers-Squibb, Merck, Horizon, Roche, and Sanofi Genzyme. JH: reports grants from the Friedrich-Baur-Stiftung, Merck and Horizon, personal fees and non-financial support from Alexion, Horizon, Roche, Merck, Novartis, Biogen, BMS and Janssen, and non-financial support from the Guthy-Jackson Charitable Foundation and The Sumaira Foundation. UKZ: has received speaking fees, travel support, and financial support for research activities from Alexion, Almirall, Bayer, Biogen, Celgene, Janssen, Merck Serono, Novartis, Octapharm, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, Teva as well as EU, BMBF, BMWi and DFG. None resulted in a conflict of interest IK: has received personal compensation for consulting, serving on a scientific advisory board, speaking, or other activities from Alexion, Almirall, Bayer, Biogen, Hexal, Horizon, Merck, Neuraxpharm, Roche/Chugai and Sanofi, all outside the submitted work TS: Nothing to disclose AH: Nothing to disclose AS: Nothing to disclose SG: reports research support from Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, CSL Behring, Else Kröner Fresenius Foundation, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and Hannover Biomedical Research School (HBRS) and consulting and/or speaker honoraria from Alnylam Pharmaceuticals and Merck all outside the submitted work. MK: research grants from Merck and Novartis; travel support and personal fees from BMS, Merck, Novartis and Roche and Sanofi; all unrelated to this manuscript. JK: reported receiving grants from Swiss National Science Foundation, Swiss MS Society, Biogen, Celgene, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, Progressive MS Alliance, University of Basel, Octave Bioscience. No other disclosures were reported. All other authors report no conflict of interest related to this work. PB: Nothing to disclose TF: reports personal fees for consultancies (including data monitoring committees) in the past three years from Bayer, BiosenseWebster, Cardialysis, CSL Behring, Enanta, Fresenius Kabi, Galapagos, IQVIA, Immunic, Janssen, Kyowa Kirin, Lilly, Liva Nova, Minoryx, Mylan, Novartis, Roche, Vifor; all outside the submitted work ACL: Nothing to disclose UZ: received grants from the European Research Council (ERC), German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), German Research Foundation (DFG), Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Ltd., and consulting fees from CorTec GmbH, all not related to this work. TK has received speaker honoraria and/or personal fees for advisory boards from Bayer Healthcare, Merck, Novartis Pharma, Sanofi-Aventis/Genzyme, Roche Pharma, Alexion/Astra Zeneca and Biogen as well as grant support from Novartis and Chugai Pharma in the past. TK has received speaker honoraria and/or personal fees for advisory boards from Merck, Roche Pharma, Alexion/Astra Zeneca, Horizon, Chugai and Biogen. HT: received consulting and/or speaker honoraria from Alexion, Bayer, Biogen, Celgene, GSK, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme and TEVA; none related to this work. All other authors report no conflict of interest in relation to this work.