

Quality Report



Generated with Pix4Dmapper version 4.3.27



Important: Click on the different icons for:



Help to analyze the results in the Quality Report



Additional information about the sections



Click [here](#) for additional tips to analyze the Quality Report

Summary



Project	Thammasat University East Aeria X
Processed	2018-10-05 17:31:59
Camera Model Name(s)	senseFlyAeriaX_18.5_6000x4000 (RGB)
Average Ground Sampling Distance (GSD)	6.04 cm / 2.38 in
Area Covered	3.225 km ² / 322.4740 ha / 1.25 sq. mi. / 797.2630 acres
Time for Initial Processing (without report)	02h:00m:42s

Quality Check



Images	median of 68529 keypoints per image	
Dataset	443 out of 443 images calibrated (100%), all images enabled	
Camera Optimization	2.77% relative difference between initial and optimized internal camera parameters	
Matching	median of 18791.4 matches per calibrated image	
Georeferencing	yes, no 3D GCP	

Preview



Figure 1: Orthomosaic and the corresponding sparse Digital Surface Model (DSM) before densification.

Calibration Details



Number of Calibrated Images	443 out of 443
-----------------------------	----------------

Initial Image Positions





Figure 2: Top view of the initial image position. The green line follows the position of the images in time starting from the large blue dot.

Computed Image/GCPs/Manual Tie Points Positions



Uncertainty ellipses 1000x magnified

Figure 3: Offset between initial (blue dots) and computed (green dots) image positions as well as the offset between the GCPs initial positions (blue crosses) and their computed positions (green crosses) in the top-view (XY plane), front-view (XZ plane), and side-view (YZ plane). Dark green ellipses indicate the absolute position uncertainty of the bundle block adjustment result.

? Absolute camera position and orientation uncertainties

	X[m]	Y[m]	Z[m]	Omega [degree]	Phi [degree]	Kappa [degree]
Mean	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.002	0.002	0.002
Sigma	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000

? Overlap




Figure 4: Number of overlapping images computed for each pixel of the orthomosaic. Red and yellow areas indicate low overlap for which poor results may be generated. Green areas indicate an overlap of over 5 images for every pixel. Good quality results will be generated as long as the number of keypoint matches is also sufficient for these areas (see Figure 5 for keypoint matches).

Bundle Block Adjustment Details



Number of 2D Keypoint Observations for Bundle Block Adjustment	8159349
Number of 3D Points for Bundle Block Adjustment	3105493
Mean Reprojection Error [pixels]	0.183

? Internal Camera Parameters

senseFlyAeriaX_18.5_6000x4000 (RGB). Sensor Dimensions: 23.456 [mm] x 15.637 [mm]

EXIF ID: senseFlyAeriaX_18.5_6000x4000

	Focal Length	Principal Point x	Principal Point y	R1	R2	R3	T1	T2
Initial Values	4732.300 [pixel] 18.500 [mm]	3000.000 [pixel] 11.728 [mm]	2000.000 [pixel] 7.819 [mm]	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Optimized Values	4863.559 [pixel] 19.013 [mm]	3007.311 [pixel] 11.756 [mm]	2006.593 [pixel] 7.844 [mm]	-0.015	-0.033	0.132	0.000	0.001
Uncertainties (Sigma)	0.120 [pixel] 0.000 [mm]	0.086 [pixel] 0.000 [mm]	0.075 [pixel] 0.000 [mm]	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000



? 2D Keypoints Table



	Number of 2D Keypoints per Image	Number of Matched 2D Keypoints per Image
Median	68529	18791
Mn	25133	6162
Max	81688	30005
Mean	67294	18418

? 3D Points from 2D Keypoint Matches



	Number of 3D Points Observed
In 2 Images	2275640
In 3 Images	442178
In 4 Images	160759
In 5 Images	79035
In 6 Images	45098
In 7 Images	28640
In 8 Images	19777
In 9 Images	14675
In 10 Images	10940
In 11 Images	8332
In 12 Images	6263
In 13 Images	4789
In 14 Images	3511
In 15 Images	2427
In 16 Images	1629
In 17 Images	977
In 18 Images	522
In 19 Images	199
In 20 Images	71
In 21 Images	20
In 22 Images	4
In 23 Images	5

2D Keypoint Matches




Figure 5: Computed image positions with links between matched images. The darkness of the links indicates the number of matched 2D keypoints between the images. Bright links indicate weak links and require manual tie points or more images. Dark green ellipses indicate the relative camera position uncertainty of the bundle block adjustment result.

Relative camera position and orientation uncertainties

	X[m]	Y[m]	Z[m]	Omega [degree]	Phi [degree]	Kappa [degree]
Mean	0.027	0.030	0.029	0.008	0.007	0.003
Sigma	0.005	0.007	0.013	0.002	0.002	0.001

Geolocation Details

Absolute Geolocation Variance

Mn Error [m]	Max Error [m]	Geolocation Error X[%]	Geolocation Error Y[%]	Geolocation Error Z[%]
--------------	---------------	------------------------	------------------------	------------------------

-	-0.05	0.00	0.00	0.45
-0.05	-0.04	0.00	0.00	0.23
-0.04	-0.03	0.23	0.00	0.45
-0.03	-0.02	0.45	0.00	2.71
-0.02	-0.01	1.35	1.13	9.48
-0.01	0.00	41.76	49.89	32.96
0.00	0.01	55.76	48.31	37.92
0.01	0.02	0.45	0.45	14.00
0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	1.81
0.03	0.04	0.00	0.23	0.00
0.04	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.05	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mean [m]		-0.000037	-0.000025	0.000112
Sigma [m]		0.004140	0.003520	0.010768
RMS Error [m]		0.004140	0.003520	0.010768

Min Error and Max Error represent geolocation error intervals between -1.5 and 1.5 times the maximum accuracy of all the images. Columns X, Y, Z show the percentage of images with geolocation errors within the predefined error intervals. The geolocation error is the difference between the initial and computed image positions. Note that the image geolocation errors do not correspond to the accuracy of the observed 3D points.

Relative Geolocation Variance

Relative Geolocation Error	Images X[%]	Images Y[%]	Images Z[%]
[-1.00, 1.00]	98.87	99.77	98.19
[-2.00, 2.00]	100.00	100.00	99.55
[-3.00, 3.00]	100.00	100.00	100.00
Mean of Geolocation Accuracy [m]	0.017814	0.017814	0.026556
Sigma of Geolocation Accuracy [m]	0.001023	0.001023	0.001702

Images X, Y, Z represent the percentage of images with a relative geolocation error in X, Y, Z.

Geolocation Orientational Variance	RMS [degree]
Omega	3.115
Phi	4.083
Kappa	20.810

Geolocation RMS error of the orientation angles given by the difference between the initial and computed image orientation angles.

Initial Processing Details

System Information

Hardware	CPU: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-7820HQ CPU @ 2.90GHz RAM: 16GB GPU: NVIDIA Quadro M2200 (Driver: 22.21.13.8205)
Operating System	Windows 10 Pro, 64-bit

Coordinate Systems

Image Coordinate System	WGS 84
Output Coordinate System	WGS 84 / UTMzone 47N

Processing Options

Detected Template	No Template Available
Keypoints Image Scale	Full, Image Scale: 1

Advanced: Matching Image Pairs	Aerial Grid or Corridor
Advanced: Matching Strategy	Use Geometrically Verified Matching: no
Advanced: Keypoint Extraction	Targeted Number of Keypoints: Automatic
Advanced: Calibration	Calibration Method: Standard Internal Parameters Optimization: All External Parameters Optimization: All Rematch: Auto, yes

Point Cloud Densification details



Processing Options



Image Scale	multiscale, 1/2 (Half image size, Default)
Point Density	Optimal
Minimum Number of Matches	3
3D Textured Mesh Generation	yes
3D Textured Mesh Settings:	Resolution: Custom Maximum Octree Depth: 14 Texture Size [pixels]: 16384x16384 Decimation Criteria: Quantitative, Maximum Number of Triangles: 10000000 Color Balancing: no
LOD	Generated: no
Advanced: 3D Textured Mesh Settings	Sample Density Divider: 1
Advanced: Image Groups	group1
Advanced: Use Processing Area	yes
Advanced: Use Annotations	yes
Time for Point Cloud Densification	03h:43m:31s
Time for Point Cloud Classification	27m:06s
Time for 3D Textured Mesh Generation	01h:42m:14s

Results



Number of Generated Tiles	6
Number of 3D Densified Points	69847111
Average Density (per m ³)	10.31